

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 05/15/2023 02:33 PM

Council File No: 23-0360

Comments for Public Posting: This “revitalization project” is nothing less than a complete joke. We moved to Reseda over six years and attended early planning meetings of the revitalization of Reseda and Sherman Way. In six years the only quasi positive changes are the bright yellow / blue “Welcome to Reseda” signs and the park off White Oak in between Sherman Way and Hart. Instead of the renovated Movie Theatre we were promised, we have more transients and encampments overtaking our streets and neighborhoods. Instead of the ice rink we were promised, we have more pawn and quick-n-loan shops. Why not put in businesses that would actually attract people to come in like breweries, cafes, Trader Joe’s, restaurants and bars? Extend the sidewalks and setup outdoor dining. I guess in the meantime we’ll keep spending our money in Encino, Sherman Oaks, and Woodland Hills.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 05/15/2023 10:48 AM

Council File No: 23-0360

Comments for Public Posting: Reseda's public parking should not be used for affordable housing. They should stay as public parking. HHow can we support our small businesses if there is no parking!! This is completely unacceptable!

Communication from Public

Name: Vladimir Strinski

Date Submitted: 05/15/2023 04:02 PM

Council File No: 23-0360

Comments for Public Posting: Please OPPOSE this proposal. This is A LEADERSHIP FAILURE OF MONUMENTAL PROPORTIONS! Why stop at parking? Let's also ruin parks, schools, city hall, etc - let's convert the whole city to a homeless encampment! There are 1001 other ways to tackle the issue than ruin the city and poison the roots that feed all businesses! There is no lack of land for building such stuff - as a start LA County Tax Assessor sells thousands of parcels each year - and the City can have any of them if they wished. The fact that someone is instead more interested in privatizing (or rather stealing!) city's parking lot (conveniently located at prime business locations!) indicates either corrupt intent or complete incompetence. Trying to help 0.1% of the population of the city at the expense of 99.9% of them is wrong in any way you look at it! PLEASE STOP THIS PROPOSAL! VOTE AGAINST!